

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/500,204	Applicant(s) WINTER ET AL.	
	Examiner Sathyanarayan Pannala	Art Unit 2164	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Joel M. Fogelson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2007
Time: 9:30 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Applicant to Expedite the prosecution to obtain permission to do Examiner's Amendment for claim 1 to amend as "Computer-implemented method" instead of "Method". Applicant agreed and approved it

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/USPTO Signature)
SATHYANARAYAN PANNALA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)